

Palmer (A. B.)

# HOMŒOPATHY,

WHAT IS IT?

A STATEMENT AND REVIEW

—OF—

ITS DOCTRINES AND PRACTICE.

—BY—

A. B. PALMER, M. D., LL. D.,

Professor of Pathology and Practice of Medicine in the College of Medicine and Surgery in the  
University of Michigan.

SECOND EDITION.

1881.





## ADVERTISEMENT.

---

**H**OMŒOPATHY, What Is It? A statement and review of its doctrines and practice by A. B. Palmer, M. D., LL. D., Professor of Pathology and Practice of Medicine in the College of Medicine in the University of Michigan. Since the first edition of this little book was given to the public, about one year ago, the subject of homœopathy has attracted much attention—more attention, probably, than at any time in the history of the existence of that school in medicine. It is the opinion of its author that the work of disintegration of the homœopathic system has begun, and that during this time its general demoralization has gone on more rapidly, perhaps, than at any preceding time.

It is the opinion of Dr. Palmer that the reason for the present rapid destruction of the system founded by Hahnemann is not so much what has been said by its opponents, as the result of its own inherent weakness, and the general advancement of knowledge among all classes on scientific subjects. The present work, however, and one or two others in the English language, and one by a well-known author (Prof. Juergensen) in the German, have doubtless done much to draw general attention more distinctly to the subject. The recent events connected with the illness of Lord Beaconsfield, in which one of the most prominent reputed homœopaths of England denied his exclusive adherence to the system, and declared his readiness to adopt any measures in the case which a regular consulting physician should advise, certainly point to a breaking down of the barriers erected by the dogmas of Hahnemann, and a return to the broad ground of scientific medicine; and in the opinion of the author it "shows a state of things within the homœopathic fraternity which foretells its dissolution, if logic or consistency shall have any force."

GEORGE S. DAVIS.



cork as the operation proceeds. These manipulations are to be conducted thus through all the vials, from the first up to the thirtieth, or decillionth development of power, which is the one in most general use."

With regard to solids, he adds on the same page, "these were, in the first place, exalted in energy by the attenuation in the form of power by the means of trituration in a mortar (in sugar) to the third or millionth degree. Of this, one grain was then dissolved and brought through twenty-seven vials by a process similar to that employed in the case of vegetable juices up to the thirtieth development of power."

On page 207 of the same work, the same founder of the system says: "The best mode of administration is to make use of small globules of sugar the size of mustard seed; one of these globules having imbibed the medicine, and being introduced into a vehicle, forms a dose containing about a three-hundredth part of a drop of the dilution, for three hundred such globules will imbibe one drop of alcohol, by placing one of these on the tongue, and not drinking anything after it," \* \* \* and then he adds: "But if the patient is very sensitive, and it is necessary to employ the smallest dose possible, and attain at the same time the most speedy results, it will be sufficient to let him smell once."

Dr. Hempel (*Mat. Med.*, vol. 1, page 92,) says: "In order to obtain good Homœopathic preparations, follow Hahnemann's rules as closely as may be possible and convenient," even in the mode of trituration, etc.

Dr. Rau, in his *Homœopathic Organon*, American translation, page 120, says: "One grain of the solid and one drop of the liquid substance, is mixed with ninety-nine grains of the sugar of milk, and triturated for one hour in a porcelain mortar with a porcelain pestle. \* \* \* After an hour's trituration we obtain the first trituration. The second trituration is prepared by triturating one grain of the first trituration with an additional ninety-nine grains of sugar of milk. The third by triturating one grain of the second in a similar manner. Of this third we mix one grain with 100 drops of alcohol or water, and by shaking the mixture a number of times, obtain



The authors encourage scholars to engage in critical reflection on the nature of knowledge and the role of their university in forming and reforming it (p. 10).

In the last decade, it has become an oft-repeated trope to describe a gap in the literature between the university and the workplace. The authors suggest that this gap is not simply a matter of knowledge, but of knowledge that is relevant to the workplace. They argue that the university is not simply a place where knowledge is created, but also a place where knowledge is used. They suggest that the university should be more engaged with the workplace, not just in terms of research, but also in terms of education and public service. They argue that the university should be more responsive to the needs of the workplace, not just in terms of research, but also in terms of education and public service.

## Pages 28-29 missing

The authors encourage scholars to engage in critical reflection on the nature of knowledge and the role of their university in forming and reforming it (p. 10).

In the last decade, it has become an oft-repeated trope to describe a gap in the literature between the university and the workplace. The authors suggest that this gap is not simply a matter of knowledge, but of knowledge that is relevant to the workplace. They argue that the university is not simply a place where knowledge is created, but also a place where knowledge is used. They suggest that the university should be more engaged with the workplace, not just in terms of research, but also in terms of education and public service. They argue that the university should be more responsive to the needs of the workplace, not just in terms of research, but also in terms of education and public service.

The authors encourage scholars to engage in critical reflection on the nature of knowledge and the role of their university in forming and reforming it (p. 10). In the last decade, it has become an oft-repeated trope to describe a gap in the literature between the university and the workplace. The authors suggest that this gap is not simply a matter of knowledge, but of knowledge that is relevant to the workplace. They argue that the university is not simply a place where knowledge is created, but also a place where knowledge is used. They suggest that the university should be more engaged with the workplace, not just in terms of research, but also in terms of education and public service. They argue that the university should be more responsive to the needs of the workplace, not just in terms of research, but also in terms of education and public service.

The authors encourage scholars to engage in critical reflection on the nature of knowledge and the role of their university in forming and reforming it (p. 10). In the last decade, it has become an oft-repeated trope to describe a gap in the literature between the university and the workplace. The authors suggest that this gap is not simply a matter of knowledge, but of knowledge that is relevant to the workplace. They argue that the university is not simply a place where knowledge is created, but also a place where knowledge is used. They suggest that the university should be more engaged with the workplace, not just in terms of research, but also in terms of education and public service. They argue that the university should be more responsive to the needs of the workplace, not just in terms of research, but also in terms of education and public service.

The authors encourage scholars to engage in critical reflection on the nature of knowledge and the role of their university in forming and reforming it (p. 10). In the last decade, it has become an oft-repeated trope to describe a gap in the literature between the university and the workplace. The authors suggest that this gap is not simply a matter of knowledge, but of knowledge that is relevant to the workplace. They argue that the university is not simply a place where knowledge is created, but also a place where knowledge is used. They suggest that the university should be more engaged with the workplace, not just in terms of research, but also in terms of education and public service. They argue that the university should be more responsive to the needs of the workplace, not just in terms of research, but also in terms of education and public service.



declaring (see page 62), that "it holds good, and will continue to hold good as a homœopathic therapeutic maxim, not to be refused by any experience in the world, that THE BEST DOSE of the properly selected remedy is ALWAYS the very smallest one in one of the high dynamizations (X or the 30th dilution) as well for chronic as for acute diseases." Now, this 30th dilution, which Hahnemann thus asserts as indubitably "*the best dose*" of all drugs for chronic or acute diseases, consists of a decillionth of a grain of the drugs used; or, in other words, it consists of *a minute globule of sugar, moistened by being simply dipped in a drop out of an ocean of fluid one hundred and forty billions (140,000,000,000,000) times as large as our whole planetary system, and which enormous ocean has been medicated for the purposes of homœopathy, by having dissolved and mixed through it one single grain of the appropriate drug.*"

Dr. Simpson adds :

"Surely common sense and common sanity both dictate to the human mind that it is utterly impossible that any such dose, from any such an inconceivable ocean, medicated by a *single grain* of any drug dissolved and mixed in it, can have any possible medicinal effect upon the human body, either in a state of health or in a state of disease; and,—looking at these and the numerous and diversified facts; CONFIRMATORY IN ALL RESPECTS OF THE SAME VIEW, which have been already stated in the preceding pages, we cannot but conclude with a writer whom the homœopaths themselves regard as the mildest and fairest among their opponents, namely, Dr. Forbes, that in rejecting homœopathy, "we are discarding what is AT ONCE FALSE AND BAD—USELESS TO THE SUFFERER—AND DEGRADING TO THE PHYSICIAN."

Possibly we cannot deny the credit [?] of originality to Hahnemann, for insisting upon the efficacy of infinitesimal doses; and yet Cervantes in his inimitable sarcasm on Knight-errantry—in the third chapter of *Don Quixote*, says:

"In the plains and deserts where Knight-errants fought and were wounded, no aid was near, unless they had some sage enchanter for their friend, who could give them immediate assistance by conveying in a cloud through the air some damsel or dwarf, *with a vial of water*, possessed of such efficacy, that upon tasting a single drop of it, they should instantly become as sound as if they had received no injury."

Many of the statements of Hahnemann and his followers are very much after this style—are indeed quite as marvelous. In the case of the "Sage enchanters," unearthly powers were

## Opinions of the Press.

We are very glad to notice a second edition of Prof. Palmer's "Homœopathy, What Is It?" We know of no book more worthy of circulation as a tract by the profession.—*Philadelphia Medical Times*.

It should be read by every practitioner.—*Southern Medical Record*.

It is a masterly review of the Hahnemann system, and has doubtless had much effect in overthrowing the faith of many of its followers.—*Louisville Medical News*.

The most condensed picture of homœopathy that we know of, together with the most unanswerable arguments establishing its fallacy.—*Pacific Medical and Surgical Journal*.

All that is necessary to secure the complete downfall of homœopathy is to have the masses understand the absurdities, and for that purpose Dr. Palmer's book answers admirably.—*Kansas Medical Index*.

That this little volume has in the short space of one year attained its second edition, is an evidence not only of the masterly dissertation and exhaustive exposé of its distinguished author, but also of the interest manifested by the public and the medical profession in an absurdity now rapidly fading under the broad glare of truth.—*Southern Practitioner*.

All who have a desire to learn something about homœopathy should read this book.—*American Medical Journal*.

Every regular practitioner of medicine should carefully read, study and remember the facts and inevitable conclusions which Dr. Palmer brings out in this little book, which gives a fair representation of the views of the exclusive Hahnemann school of practice. He reduces the arguments of the advocates of homœopathy to an *argumentum ad absurdum*.—*Virginia Medical Monthly*.

We have no doubt but that this little brochure has effected considerable good, and would urge upon all our readers the importance of reading it.—*Nashville Journal of Medicine and Surgery*.

Dr. Palmer's work is readable and we commend it to all.—*Southern Clinic*.

We beg leave to acknowledge the receipt of the above work from the publishers. Recent treatment of the subject by prominent societies and



individuals—for example, the action of Sir Wm. Jenner and Dr. Quain in the case of the late Earl of Beaconsfield with the action of the British Medical Association in the premises ; the reference to the subject in the new code adopted by the New York State Medical Society ; the article by Dr. Palmer in the March number of the North American Review, etc.—attach additional interest to “Homœopathy, What Is It?” at this time.—*Canada Lancet*, August, 1882.

Every regular practitioner of medicine should carefully read, study and remember the facts and inevitable conclusions which Dr. Palmer brings out in this little book, which gives a fair representation of the views of the exclusive Hahnemann school of practice. He reduces the arguments of the advocates of homœopathy to an *argumentum ad absurdum*.—*Virginia Medical Monthly*, February, 1882.

That this little volume has in the short space of one year attained its second edition, is an evidence not only of the masterly dissertation and exhaustive exposé of its distinguished author, but also of the interest manifested by the public and the medical profession in an absurdity now rapidly fading under the broad glare of truth.—*Southern Practitioner*, April, 1882.

Should like to have every family where there exists any symptom of homœopathy, possess a copy of Dr. Palmer's book. \* \* \* Physicians, too, will be benefited. \* \* \* All that is necessary to secure the complete downfall of homœopathy, is to have the masses understand its absurdities, and for the purpose, Dr. Palmer's book answers admirably.—*Kansas Medical Index*, April, 1882.

We welcome the second edition as an indication that readers have found in it what they sought. It is a masterly review of Hahnemann's system, and has, doubtless, had much effect in overthrowing the crude faith of many of his followers.—*Louisville Medical News*, April 1, 1882.

Have no doubt but that this little *brochure* has effected considerable good, and would urge upon all our readers the importance of reading it.—*Nashville Journal of Medicine and Surgery*, April, 1882.

The clearest, the most impartial, and the most condensed picture of homœopathy that we know of.—*Pacific Medical and Surgical Journal*, March, 1882.

Dr. Palmer's work is readable, and we commend it to all.—*Southern Clinic*, March, 1882.

W6  
P3  
V.7481  
box 91/11